Beneke Janru statistics that proves his worthy of a trophy on 8 September 2016.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tennis Report Card | | 11-Jul-16 | Janru |
|  |  |  | Beneke |
| Current Rating Ladder | |  | 52 |
| Improvement Index Ladder | | | 9 |
| Improvement Ladder | |  | 10 |
| Mental Toughness Ladder | |  | 17 |
| How good are you ladder | |  | 87 |
| Singles Matches Played | |  | 47 |
| Mental Toughness Score Ladder | | | 90 |
| Average of six ladders | |  | 31 |

Janru earned multiple merit awards which are highlighted by the fact that when the average of the six ladders was translated into a ladder position he ended 31st out of 219 players. This 31st position merits a special award. The other ladder merits will be discussed at the end of this post. Janru was so successful in the Gold group that his last tournament he was promoted to the Platinum group. Although Janru is a tough competitor his tennis etiquette was appreciated by his opponents.

Table of Factors that determine ladder position

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date: 11 July 2016 | |  |  |  |  | Beneke |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Janru |
| Current Rating | |  |  |  |  | 6.1667 |
| Improvement only without SP weight | | | |  |  | 1.3333 |
| Final Result (Improvement X Weight) | | | |  |  | 4.0000 |
| Mental Toughness % (wins to losses against same rating) | | | | |  | 69% |
| win/loss ratio against all ratings | | | |  |  | 1.0435 |
| Final ITN Rating Score | | |  |  |  | 0 |
| Singles Matches Played | | |  |  |  | 47 |

ITN Rating Calculation

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Beneke | Beneke | Beneke | Beneke | Beneke | Beneke | Beneke | Beneke |
| Janru | Janru | Janru | Janru | Janru | Janru | Janru | Janru |
| Rating | 7 | Rating | 7 | Rating | 7 | Rating | 7 |
| Score | 182 | Score | 7.5000 | Score | 7.6111 | Score | 7.0556 |
| Date | 10-Dec-14 | Revised date | 29-Jun-15 | Revised date | 25-Sep-15 | Date | 05-Dec-15 |
| Tournament | 31-Jan-15 | Tournament | 27&29Jun | Tournament | 25&26Sep | Tournament | 4&5Dec15 |
| *JvRensburg S* | 1 | *Bouwer D-P* | 0 | *Koekemoer W* | 1 | vNiekerk P | 0 |
| *Kleingeld E* | -2 | *Harris R* | 1 | Harris R | -2 | Korf H | -2 |
| Geldenhuys C | -1 | Steenkamp A | 0 | *Fivas M* | 0 | *Gezernik R* | 0 |
| Tournament | 06-Jun-15 | *van Aardt L* | 1 | *Fraser B* | 1 | Harris R | -1 |
| Fivaz M | 0 | Tournament | 25&26Sep | *Phelps C* | 2 | *Fraser B* | 1 |
| *Barnard R* | 0 | *Fivas M* | 0 | *Koekemoer W* | 1 | *vNiekerk P* | 2 |
| vStaden A | -1 | Phelps C | 0 | Tournament | 4&5Dec15 | Gezernik R | -2 |
| Tournament | 27&29Jun | Fraser B | -1 | *Wurm E* | 0 | Tournament | 06-Feb-16 |
| *Funk U* | 0 | Werner R | 0 | *Harris R* | 1 | Fivaz M | -2 |
| de Koning JJ | -1 | Harris R | -2 | *Fraser B* | 1 | *Funk U* | 0 |
| Matches | 8 | Matches | 9 | Matches | 9 | Matches | 9 |
| Score | -4 | Score | -1 | Score | 5 | Score | -4 |
| Average | -0.5000 | Average | -0.1111 | Average | 0.5556 | Average | -0.4444 |
| ITN Rating | ***7.5000*** | ITN Rating | 7.6111 | ITN Rating | **7.0556** | ITN Rating | **7.5000** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beneke | Beneke | Beneke | Beneke |  |  |  |  |
| Janru | Janru | Janru | Janru |  |  |  |  |
| Rating | 7 | Rating | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 7.5000 | Score | 7.5000 |  |  |  |  |
| Date | ######## | Date | ######## |  |  |  |  |
| Tournament | 06-Feb-16 | Tournament | 2&4Apr16 |  |  |  |  |
| *Swart N* | 0 | vStaden A | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| *vSchoor U* | 1 | *Wurm E* | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| *van Zyl J* | 1 | Fraser B | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Harris R | -2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tournament | 2&4Apr16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wurm E | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vStaden A | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wurm E | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kleingeld E | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kleingeld E | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Matches | 9 | Matches | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Score | 0 | Score | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 0.0000 | Average | 1.3333 |  |  |  |  |
| ITN Rating | **7.5000** | ITN Rating | **6.1667** |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Start poimt | ***7.5000*** |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Improvement | 1.3333 |  |  |  |  |

Discussion of merit awards for prize giving

Current Rating Ladder:

The starting Point Rating was ***7.5000*** and it decreased (improved) drastically to 6.1667 (Current Rating) on 4 April 2016 when he earned four points for a win in the Platinum group. When that factor was used to determine Current Rating Ladder it ended on 36 out of 219.

Improvement Ladder without weighting

Improvement = Starting Point Rating (***7.5000***) minus Current Rating (6.1667) = Improvement (1.3333) The factor of 1.3333 earned a ladder position of 9 out of 219 players.

Improvement Ladder which considers weighting

Final Improvement Result (including weighting)= Improvement (1.3333) multiplied by Starting Point weight (3) = 4.0000

Mental Toughness Ladder

Mental toughness is calculated by the number of wins against players of the same rating (“+1”) divided by the number of losses against players of the same rating (“-1”) Eleven “+1” wins and five “-1” losses = [11/(5+11)]X100= 69% The ladder position for 69% is 10th out of 219 players.

How good are you? Ladder

Factor for how good you are = Total number of wins against all ratings (24) divided by the total number of losses against all ratings (23) = Ratio of 1.0435. The factor of 1.0435 translates into a ladder position of 87th out of 219 players.

How good are you? Ladder

34 matches were played 27 were won and 7 were lost. The ratio of wins to losses were 3.8571 This ratio was used to determine ladder position of 52 out of 219 players.